



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,754	07/03/2001	William E. Saltzstein	PHYS116783	5287	
7	10/22/2003		EXAMI	NER	
Steven J. Shumaker SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
8425 Seasons Parkway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 105			3762		
St. Paul, MN	55125		DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	1.3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	4 ;		
₩-	Office Action Summary	09/898,754	SALTZSTEIN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	George R Evanisko	3762
Period for		pears on the cover sheet what the t	correspondence address
THE MA - Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	<u>August 2003</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	nis action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
·	n of Claims	•	
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are pending in the applicational of the above claim(s) <u>10-14 and 21-73</u> is/a		
	laim(s) is/are allowed.	are withdrawn from consideration.	
•	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
Application	n Papers		
<i>,</i> —	ne specification is objected to by the Examine		
, —	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	, , , , , , ,	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the proposed drawing correction filed on	= * :	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		oveu by the Examiner.
	n approved, corrected drawings are required in re- ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
•	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Carmior.	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/s	al-(d) or (f)
	All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 65 6.6.6. 3 116(t	2) (d) 01 (i).
·	. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	
•	. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No
	Copies of the certified copies of the price		
	application from the International Bu e the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14)∐ Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language pro- knowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •	
Attachment(s)		
2) D Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trade TOL-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 13

Application/Control Number: 09/898,754

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-14 and 21-73 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-3, 7, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Morgan et al (5593426).

Claims 1-4 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the Applicants own admission. Figure 1 and page 2, line 12 to page 3, line 9, in applicants specification describe a prior art or conventional system that meets the limitations of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,754

Art Unit: 3762

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al. Morgan uses RF signal carriers and cellular telephone links which are a specialized mobile radio network. In addition, Morgan detects movement of a defibrillator operation parameter such as battery voltage.

In the alternative, Morgan discloses the claimed invention except for the network being a specialized mobile radio network and the status assessment being the power supply voltage level. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the defibrillator and base station communication system as taught by Morgan, with the communication system using a specialized mobile radio network and the status assessment being the power supply voltage level since it was known in the art that communication systems use specialized mobile radio networks to provide a conventional communication network that can easily and inexpensively transfer data on existing networks and since it was known that defibrillators take status assessments of the power supply voltage level to allow the operator/physician/technician to know the level of the power supply to determine if the defibrillator will operate correctly or if the power supply needs to be changed.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,754

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 5, 6, 8 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al. Morgan discloses that the base communication station may include a computer or a microprocessor controlled device that can include a modem and therefore provides the control unit, interface and user interface of the base/remote station. In the alternative, see the rejection below.

Morgan discloses the claimed invention except for the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), and a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), and the remote monitoring service comprising a control unit to initiate communication, an interface to support communication, and a user interface with a display to transfer information between a user and the control unit (claim 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the defibrillator and base station communication system as taught by Morgan, with the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), and the remote monitoring service comprising a control unit to initiate communication, an interface to support communication, and a user interface with a display to transfer information between a user and the control unit since it was known in the art that communications systems use the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19) to provide a conventional communication network that can easily and inexpensively transfer data on existing networks and since it was known in the art that

Art Unit: 3762

base/remote stations comprise a control unit to initiate communication, an interface to support communication, and a user interface with a display to transfer information between a user and the control unit to provide an operator friendly device that is easy to operate, that allows the base station to communicate with the medical device, and that allows the operator to control the base station and examine data on the display.

In addition, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the defibrillator and base station communication system as taught by Morgan with the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), because Applicant has not disclosed that the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with two way communication system using telephone, cellular or RF signal carriers as taught by Morgan, because they provide a two way communication system that uses existing networks to easily and inexpensively transfer data.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Morgan to obtain the invention as specified in the claim(s).

Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brystrom et al is one teaching of many showing the use of a paging network for

communication. Duffin, Begun et al, or Rockwell et al, are teachings of the requirements of the

base station. Powers et al is one teaching of many showing the state assessment of power supply

voltage level.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit, 3762

10/11/02

GRE

October 20, 2003